UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MC ALLEN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CASE NO:	7:14-CV-00942
Plaintiff,)	CIVIL	
vs.)	McAllen, Texas	
ALL FUNDS ON DEPOSIT)	Friday, M	arch 11, 2016
AT SUN SECURED ADVANTAGE, ACCOUNT NO. *3748, ET AL.,)	(9:51 a.m.	to 10:08 a.m.)
Defendants.))		

HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE RANDY CRANE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For United States: MARY ELLEN SMYTH, ESQ.

Office of the U.S. Attorney 1100 Matamoros, 2nd Floor

Laredo, TX 78040

For Defendants: BENIGNO TREY MARTINEZ, III, ESQ.

Martinez Barrera Martinez

1201 E. Van Buren St. Brownsville, TX 78520

Court Recorder: Rick Rodriguez

Transcribed By: Exceptional Reporting Services, Inc.

P.O. Box 18668

Corpus Christi, Texas 78480-8668

361 949-2988

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

McAllen, Texas; Friday, March 11, 2016; 9:51 a.m.

(Call to Order)

THE COURT: All right. So next is -- let's move on to 14-CV-942: United States versus All Funds on Deposit at Sun Secured Advantage, et al.

If I can get announcements for who is here.

MS. SMYTH: Mary Ellen Smyth for the government, your Honor, and Mr. Salazar is also present for the government.

MR. MARTINEZ: Your Honor, Trey Martinez and Bobby

Joe Yzaguirre (phonetic) on behalf of the claimants.

THE COURT: All right. So you filed a motion for reconsideration and sort of putting some things in the record. I assume that's just to I guess help the case if I rule against you, help with the appeal, but again I read everything that you filed and I'm still convinced that his failure to show up across the hallway to answer to his indictment that he's a fugitive disentitles him to make the claim here and I guess it doesn't really matter that he's not being prosecuted in Mexico. It wouldn't really matter again from the case I read that he was prosecuted and exonerated in Mexico. That wouldn't really matter either. I know you put a lot of stuff in the record about his innocence but he has that opportunity to fight that here across the hall.

MR. MARTINEZ: And Judge, just for purposes of the

- 1 | that the Court is kind of aware of the timeline of this case.
- 2 | This case got filed in November of 2014. We all agreed to stay
- 3 | this to see if there's something that we could try and work
- 4 out.
- 5 THE COURT: Work out, sure.
- 6 MR. MARTINEZ: And then when we couldn't do that we
- 7 moved forward. We sent out discovery. Maybe five days before
- 8 discovery was due Ms. Smyth called me and she asked for an
- 9 extension of two weeks. Okay, and I agreed to give it to her
- 10 as we normally would and then within those two weeks I get a
- 11 | motion to disentitle my client under the Fugitive
- 12 Disentitlement Act without any discovery, and so I have a -- my
- 13 | biggest issue is we have not one piece of evidence, not one
- 14 | piece of evidence, in regards to either the civil claim, the
- 15 | criminal claim, anything. Okay, and we have moved forward in
- 16 | the civil claim, and so my biggest issue here is we were about
- 17 | to get some evidence and then all of a sudden we said, "No. We
- 18 | agreed to a two week extension, and then all of a sudden in
- 19 | that two weeks I get this motion and then the next thing you
- 20 know I'm sitting back, I have no discovery and so I then said
- 21 to my client, okay, and I can understand it. You get indicted
- 22 | over here for something that you don't think you did -- I mean
- 23 | if I got indicted in Mexico for something supposedly I did over
- 24 here, I'm not sure I'm going to show up in Mexico either.
- 25 Okay.

1 THE COURT: I mean I understand the situation.

anything from them.

MR. MARTINEZ: But I am going to want to see what evidence they have and so when I sit back and I told my client, "Give me some evidence so at least I can show the Court that conclusively there's nothing -- there's" -- I can't go prove a negative other than there's no investigation. There's no criminal indictment. There's no conviction. Everything that he did during this term has been approved by the Mexican government and so we put that before the Court and for the record. Okay, and I still don't have one piece of evidence from the government and that's why I'm asking this Court -- I understand the Court's ruling if in fact he's a fugitive with evidence and something there but maybe the Court could consider, "Listen, let me hold off on this ruling until some discovery has been done," because I still have yet to have

THE COURT: You're not getting stuff in the criminal case? They don't open --

MR. MARTINEZ: Nothing.

THE COURT: -- their file? The U.S. attorney doesn't open their file to you?

MR. MARTINEZ: I've had not one piece of evidence and it's been almost two years and I've asked for it, witnesses, I've asked for everything.

THE COURT: Isn't his wife also a claimant here?

```
1
              MR. MARTINEZ:
                             She is.
 2
              THE COURT: Well, she's not -- she's not a fugitive
    is she?
 3
 4
              MR. MARTINEZ: She's not a fugitive but --
 5
              THE COURT:
                           I mean why couldn't she continue to
 6
    pursue this and get discovery?
 7
              MR. MARTINEZ: And she can and the Court has allowed
    that and I know the Court has also said, "Listen, even though
 8
 9
    he's disentitled as a fugitive they're still going to have to
10
    come up with something in order to show that his claims
11
    basically are unjust and that these are illicit proceeds and
12
    there again." So I understand the Court. I'm not going to
13
    issue a final judgment until you -- the government shows
14
    something. Okay.
15
              THE COURT:
                         Riaht.
16
              MR. MARTINEZ: However, all I'm asking this Court to
17
    do is to simply hold off on disentitling him.
18
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
19
              MR. MARTINEZ: Until we get some evidence we can --
20
    now if the government comes up with evidence and I can sit back
21
    and say, "You know, what, okay, they have something."
22
    then I see this Court's position saying, "Hey listen, they have
23
    something here. Now I'm going to disentitle you." But until I
```

24

25

1 | Court to do.

THE COURT: Well, I mean I don't have any problem not ruling on your motion to reconsider quite yet because you're going to get discovery anyway because you have the other claimant.

MR. MARTINEZ: That's all we're asking the Court to do in this case.

THE COURT: But I still -- I mean here logistically I don't have a problem with that because again another party is going to proceed anyway but I don't technically think that you're necessarily entitled to that.

MS. SMYTH: And that's --

MS. SMYTH:

THE COURT: I think you'd just get cut off basically.

And that's the government's position,

your Honor. I mean and for no other -- if aside case law and the law aside what's to say that he sees the government's evidence and he says, "Oh, there is no way on God's green earth I'm ever showing back up into the United States because I am for certain going to be convicted." So it's one of those -- it's, you know -- and the government's not required to produce any evidence before the Court disentitles somebody from looking

sufficient facts for him to go back and do his own research.

or making any kind of determination of the merits of a case and

25 | The majority of the evidence that we -- that we talk about or

arguably, you know, the complaint alleges that this is

elude to in addition to his own bank statements is things that were of record or things that occurred in Mexico and which are actually more readily available to him.

THE COURT: Being over there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SMYTH: Not only that, but and again, I don't want to get into the merits of the case because, you know, that's not the whole -- we shouldn't be getting into the merits of the case but from a practical standpoint if he claims that the government's case is weak and if he has a defense to this forfeiture action or the criminal action all the witnesses that could testify on his behalf are in Mexico. They're the people that work for him. They're the people that, you know, entered into these contracts that the government talks about. So those things are available to him and so -- and again, I'm not here to argue the merits of his defense or the merits of the government's case because that's not the point. The whole premise of the fugitive disentitlement doctrine is to prevent somebody from challenging at a -- and that's exactly what he's doing. If the Court will allow some discovery to go forward even as to the wife's claim while he's sitting in Mexico he's again allowing -- he's able to engage in this civil litigation, able to engage in a criminal prosecution, from his safe haven in Mexico which is exactly the purpose of the Fugitive Disentitlement Doctrine and why it should apply in this particular case and why it should be granted today.

1 Also, if the Court were to, you know, deny his motion 2 for reconsideration right now, we've also filed a -- and I wanted to talk to the Court a little bit about this, this 3 motion for entry of judgment, final judgment, as to his 4 5 interests in the money. 6 Mr. Trey [sic], he can go and he can appeal that 7 right now. He can appeal -- he can appeal the Court's order, 8 the final judgment. He could take that up if he really thought 9 that he -- you know, that his client should not be a 10 disentitled fugitive, that all these things should be 11 considered, you know, even pending, you know, during the 12 pendency of the wife's claim. His appeal could be ongoing. 13 could go forward and proceed with the wife's case against the 14 house and he gets the benefit of both worlds. So there's no 15 reason for the Court. 16 THE COURT: Well, twice the work I guess. 17 MR. MARTINEZ: Yes. 18 Well, I mean that's why we're here. MS. SMYTH: 19 THE COURT: Yes, right, but again it's no additional 20 work just to -- for me to hold off on ruling on that. I mean 21 Mr. Martinez can see how the Court's leaning here. My belief 22 is that he's legally not entitled but -- but since there's 23 going to be discovery anyway I can hold off on that ruling and 24 I really don't know why you've sent me notice that you filed a 25 motion to dismiss on one of the counts in the criminal case

strike which you did and I guess now we have this pending.

25

1 THE COURT: Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SMYTH: So I haven't talked to Mr. Salazar yet. We were -- we started the conversation last night about whether we were going to ask again for a continued stay. He's got some new developments in his criminal case that he was advising me of and so we were going to talk about that after the hearing today which again goes back to the Court's original, you know, discovery will be ongoing as to the wife's claim but if we ask for that protective -- or that stay or alternatively a protective order there is going to be -- we have that issue again about is he going to get the discovery that he needs because we've got this other issue about -- about a motion for stay because of the criminal case. So at the end of the day the easiest thing is for Mr. Silva just to show up and --THE COURT: Right.

-- and face the music in the criminal MS. SMYTH: case.

MR. MARTINEZ: And again, Judge, if -- if I had the Mexican government indicting me for something I did wholly over here, based upon facts wholly over here, based upon stuff that was wholly over here, I'm not sure I'm going to sit back and say, "I'm going to go to Mexico and give myself up based upon all these actions over here."

THE COURT: Right, right, although -- yes. think even El Chapo has decided it's better to come here and

```
1 | face the music than in Mexico.
```

- 2 All right. So all right. Well, I'm going to hold
- 3 off a little bit then on entering that order because you're
- 4 | telling me you're going to commence discovery anyway. I don't
- 5 really know that it matters. I mean how long --
- 6 MR. MARTINEZ: It may not, Judge.
- 7 THE COURT: -- how long do I hold off on entering the
- 8 order. It's -- I mean --
- 9 MS. SMYTH: Are we -- which order? Are you talking
- 10 about the final order or --
- 11 THE COURT: My order denying his motion to
- 12 | reconsider.
- MS. SMYTH: Oh.
- 14 THE COURT: I mean I've drafted it so --
- 15 MR. MARTINEZ: Well, it'd be -- it'd be -- right.
- 16 | THE COURT: -- but it's going to take me a little
- 17 | while to finish up crossing the t's and dotting the i's anyway
- 18 | but --
- 19 MR. SALAZAR: Judge, if I may, the discovery that
- 20 | he's seeking may not be found going forward on the house and
- 21 | the wife's situation. She is not a criminal defendant and,
- 22 | therefore, the allegations that are in the criminal case are
- 23 | far more expanding. There may be some things that --
- 24 **THE COURT:** But there's got to be some evidence.
- 25 MR. SALAZAR: Right. There may be some but --

- 1 THE COURT: The proceeds were used to purchase this
- 2 home.
- 3 MR. SALAZAR: -- the main jest of everything is
- 4 separate.
- 5 THE COURT: I mean she has a community property
- 6 | interest or some interest in this home.
- 7 MR. MARTINEZ: The home is under her name.
- 8 MS. SMYTH: It's under her name. It was a gift.
- 9 MR. MARTINEZ: Okay, and you're exactly right, Judge.
- 10 It's all based upon the same facts.
- 11 **THE COURT:** Sure.
- 12 MR. MARTINEZ: So I need that discovery so I can sit
- 13 | back and again this is what I'm hearing.
- 14 **THE COURT:** Right.
- 15 MR. MARTINEZ: If they don't have it then let me know
- 16 | they don't have it.
- 17 THE COURT: I assume the prosecutor has a file that
- 18 | you're welcome to go look at.
- 19 MR. SALAZAR: We do, your Honor.
- 20 MR. MARTINEZ: I haven't been able to.
- 21 MR. SALAZAR: But we're not going to open that file
- 22 to the fugitive.
- 23 **THE COURT:** To the civil --
- MR. SALAZAR: To a --
- THE COURT: Well, he's represented in that case.

```
13
1
              MR. SALAZAR: Right, Judge.
 2
              THE COURT:
                         Who's his lawyer?
              MR. MARTINEZ: Myself and Mr. Padilla.
 3
 4
              THE COURT:
                          Okay, in the criminal case?
 5
              MR. MARTINEZ:
                             In the criminal and the civil.
                         So why as a fugitive you can't go in and
 6
              THE COURT:
7
    look at the evidence against the person?
 8
              MS. SMYTH: Not until they're arrested.
 9
              MR. SALAZAR: Right. Right, Judge.
10
              MS. SMYTH:
                         Not until they're arrested.
11
                          Huh?
              THE COURT:
12
              MR. MARTINEZ: And that's -- when I was practicing in
13
    the U.S. attorney's office that was not my position. You know
    I want to show somebody, "Hey, this is why I'm indicting you.
14
    This is why I'm taking away your stuff."
15
16
              THE COURT: Yes, but then --
17
              MR. MARTINEZ: When does the -- when does the burden
18
    shift over to the defendant to sit back and say, "Give me all
19
    these documents so I can go and show the Court that I'm
20
    innocent of all this stuff" when I have not one piece of
21
    evidence. That's the issue that I have here.
22
              MR. SALAZAR: Policies change in the Department of
23
    Justice.
              New U.S. attorneys come into play.
24
              MR. MARTINEZ: And I agree with that.
```

What I would hate, Judge, is -- and it

MR. SALAZAR:

25

don't necessarily represent what we would do individually and we're not trying to hide anything from defense counsel but I would hate for us to be here, Judge in a few months and be hearing the same arguments because he didn't get entirely everything that he's trying to get to then go back to Mr. Silva and tell him, "This is what they have against you" and so that's the only reason that I point out that going forward on the wife's civil case and showing the discovery in that case may not be adequate to give him everything that he needs in the civil portion of it and I would hate for us to be back here in a few months, Judge, and have to be relitigating this and making the same arguments.

MR. SALAZAR: Judge, there were some wire transfers or there were some money transfers that came to her. She came into the United States. She closed on the house and there would be some documents showing that she had no income and that there are immigration documents. There may be some reports as to interviews that agents did with different folks at banks relative to the wife and that house and forgive me if I -- if I'm leaving anything out. The case agent is here but again the schemes that are alleged against Mr. Silva far surpass any of this.

MR. MARTINEZ: But it would all have to be based upon the same illegal acts, either illegal funds, right? And so if

- 1 | they're using illegal funds, supposedly, allegedly to purchase
- 2 this house they would have to have the same discovery given to
- 3 me. That's the thing. They can't sit back and say, "She
- 4 | bought a house. Therefore, I'm going to take it." That's not
- 5 | the way it works here.
- 6 THE COURT: Well, I thought you said it was a gift
- 7 so.
- 8 MR. MARTINEZ: They still have to prove that it comes
- 9 | from illicit funds.
- 10 **THE COURT:** Well, not necessarily. I mean if the
- 11 person that who gifted it to them by default has no claim to it
- 12 | she's not a bona fide purchaser.
- 13 | MS. SMYTH: She's not a bona fide purchaser.
- MR. MARTINEZ: Well, he also -- he also has a claim
- 15 | to it as well.
- 16 THE COURT: Right, except he's got to be here to
- 17 | assert that.
- 18 MR. MARTINEZ: I understand that.
- 19 **THE COURT:** You can't be a fugitive and make a claim
- 20 | to it is what our law says but --
- 21 MS. SMYTH: I will --
- 22 | THE COURT: -- I feel like I'm starting to police
- 23 | discovery fights which I don't want to do. I mean again I'm
- 24 | willing to give two months for your --
- 25 MR. MARTINEZ: For my motions.

THE COURT: Yes, to rule on your motions so that you can -- your -- the wife can do her discovery. She may get nothing is what I'm being told or she's going to get very little but I don't want to be the one who decides what she gets and what she doesn't get. I'm going to give you two months where she can do her discovery and then I'll enter an order and if you feel like they're not giving you what she's owed then, you know, you can file a motion or something but this way it doesn't hurt anybody for me to hold off on ruling on that.

MR. MARTINEZ: And how --

THE COURT: And you don't have to appeal it yet.

MR. MARTINEZ: I'm sorry.

about this but I have talked about it with some of my colleagues in the forfeiture unit and this house is identified in the criminal indictment. It's noticed for forfeiture in the criminal indictment. There is — the extradition packet for Mr. Silva is being prepared and it's my inclination quite frankly, your Honor, to nonsuit as to the house. If the Court were to grant the final judgment as to the monies, you know, Mr. Silva is a disentitled fugitive. There was no claim. There are no other parties before this Court. We would be asking for a final judgment. We would nonsuit against the house. That ends anything in this particular case and it can all be litigated in the criminal case once he's brought over

- 1 and I quite frankly think that is --
- 2 **THE COURT:** It sounds like a good deal.
- 3 MS. SMYTH: -- and Mr. Salazar may disagree with me
- 4 | -- but I quite frankly think that that would be --
- 5 THE COURT: That sounds like a good deal. Your wife
- 6 | --
- 7 MR. SALAZAR: I agree with you. I agree with you.
- 8 MS. SMYTH: -- that would be the most judicious thing
- 9 to do in this particular case.
- 10 | THE COURT: Sure and efficient. Sounds like a good
- 11 deal.
- 12 MR. MARTINEZ: Can I talk to my client?
- 13 | THE COURT: You going to call him in Mexico?
- 14 MR. MARTINEZ: I've got to call her.
- 15 **THE COURT:** Oh, the other client.
- 16 MR. MARTINEZ: The other client.
- 17 | THE COURT: Sure. Why don't you-all take a moment?
- 18 | MR. MARTINEZ: Well, I'm not sure I can contact her
- 19 | right now.
- THE COURT: Okay.
- 21 MR. MARTINEZ: Can I have until Monday or Tuesday.
- 22 **THE COURT:** Yes.
- 23 MR. MARTINEZ: Actually I'm in -- Tuesday of next
- 24 | week because I'm getting ready for depositions in another case.
- 25 **THE COURT:** All right. So I'll give you a week to do

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Join Hudson

August 30, 2016

Signed

Dated

TONI HUDSON, TRANSCRIBER